# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**District of New Mexico** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

**Hugo Enriquez-Varela** 

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Case Number: 1:14CR00116-001JB

USM Number: **75767-051** 

Defense Attorney: John Robbenhaar, Appointed

THE DEFENDANT:			
pleaded guilty to count(s) <b>Information</b> pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) which was accepted after a plea of not guilty was found guilty on count(s)	ed by the court.		
The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses:			
Title and Section Nature of Offense	Offense Ended	Count	
8 U.S.C. Sec. Reentry of a Removed Alien 1326(a)/(b)	11/13/2013	Number(s)	
The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 9 Reform Act of 1984.	of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pur	rsuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The defendant has been found not guilty on count. ☐ Count dismissed on the motion of the United States.	the United States attempts for this district with	sin 20 days of any change of	
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant must notify name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution ordered to pay restitution, the defendant must notify the cour	n, costs, and special assessments imposed by the	nis judgment are fully paid. If	
	February 21, 2014		
	Date of Imposition of Judgment		
	/s/ James O. Browning		
	/s/ James O. Browning Signature of Judge		
	Signature of Judge  Honorable James O. Browning		
	Signature of Judge  Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge		
	Signature of Judge  Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge  Name and Title of Judge		

Defendant: **Hugo Enriquez-Varela** Case Number: **1:14CR00116-001JB** 

#### **IMPRISONMENT**

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of **101 days** or time served, whichever is less.

The Court recommends that Immigration and Customs Enforcement begin immediate removal proceedings.

Pursuant to section 5D1.1(c), the Court will not impose a term of supervised release.

The Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 101 days reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:					
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.					
at on  as notified by the United States Marshal.					
<ul><li>□ before 2 p.m. on</li><li>□ as notified by the United States Marshal</li></ul>	ed by the Bureau of Prisons:				
RETURN					
e executed this judgment as follows:					
ndant delivered on					
at	with a Certified copy of this judgment.				
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:  at on  as notified by the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designat before 2 p.m. on  as notified by the United States Marshal  as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.  RETURN  e executed this judgment as follows:				

UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Case 1:14-cr-00116-JB Document 22 Filed 02/26/14 Page 3 of 9

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Defendant: **Hugo Enriquez-Varela** Case Number: **1:14CR00116-001JB** 

#### CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The d	efendant must pay the following total criminal	monetary penalties in accordance with the sch	hedule of payments.
×	The Court hereby remits the defendant's Sp	pecial Penalty Assessment; the fee is waived a	nd no payment is required.
Totals	: Assessn	ment Fine	Restitution
	\$waiv	red \$0.00	\$0.00
	SC	HEDULE OF PAYMENTS	
Payme	ents shall be applied in the following order (1)	assessment; (2) restitution; (3) fine principal;	(4) cost of prosecution; (5) interest;
(6) pe	nalties.		
Payme	ent of the total fine and other criminal monetary	y penalties shall be due as follows:	
The d	efendant will receive credit for all payments pr	eviously made toward any criminal monetary	penalties imposed.
A	☐ In full immediately; or		
В	☐ \$ immediately, balance due (see special	instructions regarding payment of criminal m	nonetary penalties).

payment.

Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties: Criminal monetary penalties are to be made payable by cashier's check, bank or postal money order to the U.S. District Court Clerk, 333 Lomas Blvd. NW, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 unless otherwise noted by the court. Payments must include defendant's name, current address, case number and type of

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise in the special instructions above, if this judgment imposes a period of imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties shall be due during the period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalty payments, except those payments made through the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are to be made as directed by the court, the probation officer, or the United States attorney.

AO 245B (Rev. 12/10) Criminal Judgment Attachment - Statement of Reasons

Defendant: Hugo Enriquez-Varela Case Number: 1:14CR00116-001JB District: District of New Mexico

### STATEMENT OF REASONS

(Not for Public Disclosure)

I	COI	U <b>RT F</b>	Γ FINDINGS ON PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT					
	A		The court adopts the presentence investigation report without change.					
	В	×	The court adopts the presentence investigation report with the following changes.  (Check all that apply and specify court determinations, findings, or comments, referencing paragraph numbers in the presente report, if applicable.) (Use page 4 if necessary.)  The Court orders pg. 2 of the PSR to reflect the Defendant's date of birth as 11/10/1990 (not 11/10/1988).					
			1	<b>Chapter Two of the U.S.S.G. Manual</b> determinations by court (including changes to base offense level, or specific offense characteristics):				
		2 Chapter Three of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to victim-related adjustments, rethe offense, obstruction of justice, multiple counts, or acceptance of responsibility):						
			3□	<b>Chapter Four of the U.S.S.G. Manual</b> determinations by court (including changes to criminal history category or scores, career offender, or criminal livelihood determinations):				
			4□	<b>Additional Comments or Findings</b> (including comments or factual findings concerning certain information in the presentence report that the Federal Bureau of Prisons may rely on when it makes inmate classification, designation, or programming decisions).				
	C		The r	record establishes no need for a presentence investigation report pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P.32.				
II	CO	URT F	INDING ON MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE (Check all that apply.)					
	A	×	No count of conviction carries a mandatory minimum sentence.					
	В		Mandatory minimum sentence imposed.					
	C		One or more counts of conviction alleged in the indictment carry a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment, but the sentence imposed is below a mandatory minimum term because the court has determined that the mandatory minimum does not apply base on					
				Findings of fact in this case Substantial assistance (18 U.S.C. 3553(e)) The statutory safety valve (18 U.S.C. 3553(f))				
Ш	COI	U <b>RT I</b>	DETER	MINATION OF ADVISORY GUIDELINE RANGE (BEFORE DEPARTURES)				

Total Offense Level: 6 Criminal History Category: I Imprisonment Range: 0 to 6 months Supervised Release Range: 1 year Fine Range: \$500 to \$5,000

Fine waived or below the guideline range because of inability to pay.

### Case 1:14-cr-00116-JB Document 22 Filed 02/26/14 Page 6 of 9

 $AO\ 245B\ (Rev.\ 12/10)\ Criminal\ Judgment$   $Attachment\ (Page\ 2)\ -\ Statement\ of\ Reasons$ 

Defendant: **Hugo Enriquez-Varela**Case Number: **1:14CR00116-001JB**District: District of New Mexico

### STATEMENT OF REASONS

(Not for Public Disclosure)

IV	ADVISORY GUIDELINE SENTENCING DETERMINATION (Check only one.)  A   The sentence is within an advisory guideline range that is not greater than 24 months, and the court finds no reason to depart					d the court finds no reason to depart.	
B  The sentence is within an advisory guideline range that is greater than 24 months, and the specific sentence is imposed for reasons. (Use page 4, if necessary.)						ne specific sentence is imposed for these	
	CX	The court departs from the advisory go Section V.)	uidelir	ne range	e for reasons authorized by the s	ente	ncing guidelines manual. (Also complete
	D The court imposes a sentence outside the advisory sentencing guideline system. (Also complete Section VI.)						nplete Section VI.)
V	DEPARTURES AUTHORIZED BY THE ADVISORY SENTENCING GUIDELINES (if applicable)  A The sentence imposed departs (Check only one.):  □ Below the advisory guideline range; or □ Above the advisory guideline range.						S (if applicable)
	B Departure based on (Check all that apply.):  1 Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.):						
3 <b>Other</b> ☐ Other than a plea agreement or motion by the parties for departure (Check reason(s) below.):				on(s) below.):			
		Reason(s) for Departure (Check all that  4A1.3 Criminal History Inadequacy  5H1.1 Age  5H1.2 Education and Vocational Skills  5H1.3 Mental and Emotional Condition  5H1.4 Physical Condition  5H1.5 Employment Record  5H1.6 Family Ties and Responsibilities  5H1.11 Military Record, Charitable Service, Good Works  5K2.0 Aggravating or Mitigating Circumstances	apply	5K2.1 5K2.2 5K2.3 5K2.4 5K2.5 5K2.6 5K2.7 5K2.8	Death Physical Injury Extreme Psychological Injury Abduction or Unlawful Restraint Property Damage or Loss Weapon or Dangerous Weapon		5K2.11 Lesser Harm 5K2.12 Coercion and Duress 5K2.13 Diminished Capacity 5K2.14 Public Welfare 5K2.16 Voluntary Disclosure of Offense 5K2.17 High-Capacity, Semiautomatic Weapon 5K2.18 Violent Street Gang 5K2.20 Aberrant Behavior  5K2.21 Dismissed and Uncharged Conduct 5K2.22 Age or Health of Sex Offenders 5K2.23 Discharged Terms of Imprisonment Other guideline basis (e.g., 2B1.1 commentary)

Explain the facts justifying the departure. (Use page 4, if necessary.)

Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and 5K3.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines, the Court accepts the plea agreement which stipulates to an offense level of 2 as the Court is satisfied the agreed offense level departs for justifiable reasons which include the adoption by the Attorney General and the U.S. Attorney for this District of an Early Disposition Program. An offense level of 2 combined with a criminal history category of I establishes a guideline imprisonment range of 0 to 6 months. The Court notes the defendant reentered the United States after having been previously deported.

AO 245B (Rev. 12/10) Criminal Judgment Attachment (Page 3) - Statement of Reasons

Defendant: **Hugo Enriquez-Varela**Case Number: **1:14CR00116-001JB**District: District of New Mexico

### STATEMENT OF REASONS

(Not for Public Disclosure)

# VI COURT DETERMINATION FOR SENTENCE OUTSIDE THE ADVISORY GUIDELINE SYSTEM (Check all that apply.)

A	The sentence imposed is (Check only one.):  □ below the advisory guideline range; or □ above the advisory guideline range.						
В	Sentence imposed pursuant to (Check all that apply.):						
	<ul> <li>1 Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.):</li> <li>□ binding plea agreement for a sentence outside the advisory guideline system accepted by the court;</li> <li>□ plea agreement for a sentence outside the advisory guideline system, which the court finds to be reasonable;</li> <li>□ plea agreement that states that the government will not oppose a defense motion to the court to sentence outside the guideline system.</li> <li>2 Motion Not addressed in a Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.):</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>☐ government motion for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system,</li> <li>☐ defense motion for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system to which the government did not object;</li> <li>☐ defense motion for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system to which the government objected.</li> </ul>						
	3 <b>Other</b> □ other than a plea agreement or motion by the parties for a sentence outside of the guideline system( check reason(s) below.):						
Rea	son(s) for Sentence Outside the Advisory Guideline System (check all that apply.)						
	the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3553(a)(1); to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense (18 U.S.C. 3553(a)(2)(A)); to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct (18 U.S.C. 3553(a)(2)(B)); to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant (18 U.S.C. 3553(a)(2)(C)); to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner (18 U.S.C 3553(a)(2)(D));						
	to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants (18 U.S.C. 3553 (a)(6)); to provide restitution to any victims of the offense (18 U.S.C. 3553(a)(7)).						

Explain the facts justifying a sentence outside the advisory guideline system. (Use page 4, if necessary.)

AO245B (Rev. 12/10) Criminal Judgment Attachment (Page 4) – Statement of Reasons

Defendant: **Hugo Enriquez-Varela**Case Number: **1:14CR00116-001JB**District: District of New Mexico

# STATEMENT OF REASONS

(Not for Public Disclosure)

	A	⊠ Restit	ution Not Applicable				
	В	Total Am	nount of Restitution: \$0.00				
	С	Restitutio		wise mandatory under 18 U.S.C. 3663A, restitution is not ordered because the as to make restitution impracticable under 18 U.S.C. 3663A(c)(3)(A).			
determining complex issues of fact and re				rwise mandatory under 18 U.S.C 3663A, restitution is not ordered because relating them to the cause or amount of the victims' losses would complicate or ee that the need to provide restitution to any victim would be outweighed by the 18 U.S.C. 3663A(c)(3)(B).			
restitution is not ordered because the com-			restitution is not ordered because the comp	s authorized under 18 U.S.C 3663 and/or required by the sentencing guidelines, plication and prolongation of the sentencing process resulting from the fashioning o provide restitution to any victims under 18 U.S.C. 3663(a)(1)(B)(ii).			
		4□	Restitution is not ordered for other reason	s. (Explain)			
	D $\square$	☐ Partial restitution is ordered under 18 U.S.C. 3553(c) for these reasons:					
X		Sec	ctions I, II, III, IV, and VII of the Statement	of Reasons form must be completed in all felony cases.  February 21, 2014			
Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.			0.	Date of Imposition of Judgment			
11/10/1988				/s/ James O. Browning			
Defen	Defendant's Date of Birth			Signature of Judge			
<b>611 V</b> i	ista Lun	a Lane SI	E				
Albuquerque, NM 87121			21	Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge			
Defendant's Residence Address		Address	Name and Title of Judge				
,				February 26, 2014			
Defen	dant's M	ailing Ado	dress	Date Signed			